

## **“Moral Objectivity”**

---

Please explain the following concepts from the article by Judith Jarvis Thomson.

1. What is the definition of the *Moral Assessment Thesis*?

What does that mean in your own words?

2. What is the definition of the *Thesis of Moral Objectivity*?

What does that mean in your own words?

3. Explain the difference between *evaluatives* and *directives*.

4. What are the five ways of being good? What does it mean to be good?  
What does it mean to be bad?

5. Describe a situation when an action is neither good nor bad. How is this different from a good act or a good state of affairs?

6. Define the *Thesis of Moral Requirement*?

What does it mean in your own words?

7. What is the author's main thesis?

How does her thesis differ from the Harman article?

Which of the two do you agree with most? Why?